Topic 3: GENI I&M Resources

Jim Griffioen Laboratory for Advanced Networking University of Kentucky Lexington, KY

Fundamental Questions

- O What are the I&M resources?
- O Who/what are the users of I&M resources?
- What is the granularity of an I&M resource?
- O How are the I&M resources:
 - created/deleted
 - registered/advertised/described
 - discovered/found
 - controlled e.g., started/stopped
 - protected from unauthorized access
 - connected together (i.e., interconnecting channels)

CF/I&M Relationship?

- In what ways does an I&M infrastructure differ from the CF infrastructure? Note that they offer very similar services.
- Should the I&M infrastructure be integrated into the CF infrastructure?
- Should the I&M infrastructure be distinct but leverage the CF infrastructure as much as possible?
- Should the I&M infrastructure be completely separate from the CF infrastructure?

Approach 1:

A Usage Model View of Resources

(Adapted from slides by Harry Mussman)

- Three basic Monitoring Situations (see Harry's diagrams)
 - Internal Slice Monitoring Services
 - "Dedicated I&M Services"
 - Example INSTOOLS
 - Slice-aware Monitoring Services
 - "Common I&M Service with dedicated slivers"
 - Example Wisconsin packet capture devices
 - General Monitoring Services
 - "Common I&M Service with Available Data"
 - Example Perfsonar
- Problem: Not clear who is responsible for these services
 - The CFs?
 - New (yet to be developed) I&M Infrastructure
 - Device/Service "owners" (for some definition of owner)?

Dedicated I&M Server

(Figures by Harry Mussman)



Common I&M Srvc, Dedicated Slivers

(Figures by Harry Mussman)



0 Measurement Orchestration Service po229 Configure and Program Manage Slivers Services Via CF and/or https

Researcher

gpo229

Ň

University of Kentucky

GEC8 - I&M Meeting

Common I&M Services with available Data

(Figures by Harry Mussman)



Approach 2:

I&M supported completely by CF

- Observation: CF knows how to register, discover, create, delete, access, etc resources.
- Idea: Add new types of resources to the list already managed by CFs - e.g., define new MP, MC, MAP, MIS, MOS, ... etc..., resources for the CFs to manage. CFs will also allocate/manage the "links" that connect these services. (Think of I&M services as slivers that the CF allocates and sets up on hardware it controls/owns).
- Problems:
 - □ Instrumentation services must be known and managed by the CF.
 - To achieve "shared" monitoring, some services (slivers) would need to be part of multiple slices.
 - These new resource (services) may need to exist outside of a CFs control. (e.g., an archive service may be provided by S3).

First Class I&M Resources



First Class I&M Resources



Standard resources + I&M resources known to, protected and managed by, the CF

First Class I&M Resources



Standard resources + I&M resources known to, protected and managed by, the CF

Approach 3:

I&M relies on CF as much as possible

- I&M uses CF functionality to describe, register, discover, create, delete, access, etc resources to the largest extend possible. This should get us 90-100% of the way there.
- Let the I&M develop additional functionality/enhancements if we find it is necessary.
- Think of "instrumentation" (i.e., I&M services) as characteristics/attributes of resources. A (virtual) node or a (virtual) link (i.e., a resource) is instrumented with one or more measurement services (described as attributes of the resource).
- Because attributes are still part of the resource description, this still leverages
 - CF resource discovery/creation functionality to find/create measurement services.
 - CF resource authorization functionality to verify that a user can access a measurement service (i.e., if they can access the resource, they can access the measurement service associated with the resource).
 - CF link creation functionality to connect resources that have measurement services.
- But yet allows the I&M to build its own enhanced functionality (e.g, only allowing users from another slice to see slice data, or using other (non-CF) communication channels to communicate between measurement services) if desired. In other words, the I&M infra does not need to be completely described and managed by the CF.

Resources can be Instrumented

(e.g., resources have attributes)



Resources can be Instrumented

(e.g., resources have attributes)



A possible way forward (Inspired by Cluster C Members)

- Leverage (enhanced) CF functionality where it makes sense:
 - Resource registration/discovery:
 - represent I&M capabilities as attributes of resources (which may be virtual resources *not* controlled by the CF)
 - may require enhancing RSPEC definition
 - User Authorization:
 - make it available to the I&M services to check access
 - may require credentials for resources, services, etc.
- Monitor slices resources and their instrumentation belong to slices.
- GMOC monitoring is done via a GMOC slice.
- Shared access to monitoring data?
 - Give access to users outside of a slice?
 - Represent share data as virtualizable resources (mapped to multiple slices).
- Let I&M build other functionality as necessary (for example to interact with resources outside of GENI).