







GENI Monitoring Topology in the Mesoscale

Chaos Golubitsky, GPO GEC12 Nov 3, 2011 www.geni.net



Sponsored by the National Science Foundation



- Layer 2 mesoscale network is different from commercial networks:
 - Topology contains intentional loops, for use by experimenters in path selection experiments
 - Experimenters know how packets are switched and routed through the multisite network
 - Experimenters modify packet switching and routing, independently from other experiments
- Mesoscale has been operational for a year now
 - Examples of network problems seen so far in the wild
 - Implications for future monitoring and debugging



- What can happen?
 - Mesoscale core consists of two VLANs
 - VLANs are bridged at each campus by an OpenFlowcontrolled VLAN
 - If bridge fails open, traffic leaks between core VLANs
- Why does it happen?
 - Interface misconfiguration
 - OpenFlow VLAN fails open (default config on HP)
 - VLAN loses its OF configuration entirely and reverts to learning switch
 - Experiment misconfiguration



- Detection of severe failures is easy:
 - Define traffic which should never be seen on each
 VLAN (IP monitoring subnet of other VLAN)
 - Alert if you see that traffic
 - <u>http://groups.geni.net/geni/wiki/NetworkCore/</u> <u>TrafficLeaks</u>
- Tracking down failures so they can be resolved:
 - GMOC and GPO have tracked down many severe failures using suspicious MAC address table patterns
 - But: the leaking traffic is not the traffic causing the leak
 - Intermittent failures are much harder to find
- How do we find leaks affecting only a subset of (non-monitoring) traffic?



- Why MACs get shared between experiments:
 - Physical interfaces are expensive
 - Subinterfacing puts one interface on many networks
 - Default (Linux) subinterfaces share MAC address
 - Examples: mesoscale MyPLC, monitoring nodes
- When this causes problems:
 - Different topologies using the same MACs on the same devices
 - Depending on bridging, maybe even the same VLANs
 - Complicated by non-OF devices in an OF path



Shared MAC Problems: GPO Example (1)





Shared MAC Problems: GPO Example (2)





• NoX detected this problem via syslog:

Sep 8 11:46:18 EDT: %SW_MATM-4-MACFLAP_NOTIF: Host 001b.215f.8fe1 in vlan 3745 is flapping between port Gi0/9 and port Te0/1 Sep 8 11:47:16 EDT: %SW_MATM-4-MACFLAP_NOTIF: Host 001b.214b.3fad in vlan 3745 is flapping between port Gi0/9 and port Te0/1

• General solutions feasible in the short term:

- Track MAC addresses of known hosts, and alert if you see them somewhere unexpected
- Collect problematic log messages which might be seen on non-OpenFlow devices connecting OpenFlow networks; ask regionals to watch for them



- They are ways the topology can fail to match experimenter expectations
 - ...which could lead to experimental results mismatching expectations
- These problems have occurred "in the wild" in the early mesoscale deployment
- We want to accurately detect problems like these
 - GENI software will help in the long term
 - Some simple diagnostics have been useful so far
 - We can do more in the near term



Long-term **GENI** solutions

- Stitching:
 - Design a custom end-to-end per-experiment topology
 - Virtualize resources which we manually provision, and often share, right now (e.g. VLANs, MACs)
 - Programmatic verification of topology may be part of topology reservation
- Instrumentation and Measurement:
 - Richer capture of activity on intermediate networks to help experimenters validate the topology they expect
 - Within-slice on-demand measurement to verify complex experimental configurations



- Define something you expect not to see, and alert if you see it. Examples:
 - Bridged VLAN detection ("Monitoring subnets A and B are distinct. If they see each other's traffic, something is wrong")
 - Shared MAC address ("MAC address M belongs to site
 B. If it is learned on an interface facing away from site
 B, something is wrong")
- These checks are easy to do
- With reasonable definitions, false positives are low





- Tools to improve detection and response:
 - "Known bad symptom" tests which can run at sites, regionals, and backbones
 - Then share code for those tests, and run them
 - Simple things for regionals to be aware of are especially useful
 - Monitor MAC addresses learned by experimental switches, and alert on unexpected paths when feasible
- Other suggestions?
- Thank you for helping operate the mesoscale!